UNITED STATES DISTR EASTERN DISTRICT OF	NEW YORK	V
MALRY TARDD and OT		X
-against-	Plaintiffs,	ORDER CV 04-3262 (ADS)(ARL)
BROOKHAVEN NATION et al.,	NAL LABORATOR`	Y,
	Defendants.	V
LINDSAY, Magistrate Ju		X
additional two hours to depthird amended complaint. and was to be conducted for were produced to the defer that the third amended comdoes not contain "any new the defendants." The court application and finds that the additional two hours regard	The plaintiff's continued two hours for the plaintiff's continued two hours for the product after his deposit plaint, which was seen allegations and/or and that reviewed the allegation the defendants should ding the third amend	r application dated March 3, 2006, requesting an o White regarding the allegations made in the nued deposition is scheduled for March 9, 2006 purpose of discussing his handwritten notes that sition. The plaintiffs oppose the motion arguing erved on the defendants on February 20, 2006, ny information that would have caused surprise to legations set forth in the defendants' letter d be permitted to depose the plaintiff for an led complaint even if the amended complaint ordingly, the defendants' application is granted.
Dated: Central Islip, New March 7, 2006	York	SO ORDERED:
		/s/_ ARLENE R. LINDSAY United States Magistrate Judge